



2008 AUG 31 AM 11: 30

Redwood Chapter P.O. Box 466 Santa Rosa, CA 95402 Ph 544-7651 DN OF WATER RIGHTS SACRAMENTO 25 August 2006

State Water Resources Control Board P.O. Box 2000 1001 I Street, 14th Floor Sacramento, CA 95812

Re: Draft SED North Coast Instream Flow Policy Comments

Attention: Ms. Karen Niiya Division of Water Rights

Dear Ms. Niiya;

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the environmental review of this very important document. The passage by the legislature and signing of AB 2121 by the governor was a very major step in addressing the decline of our North Coast watersheds and the salmonid fishery. We welcome and support the prospect of instream flow protection, an end to new onstream dams and a new enforcement policy proposed in the 2002 Draft Guidelines drafted by the California Department of Fish and Game and National Marine Fisheries Service. Our comments are based upon what we have experienced first hand and represent our interest in the restoration and protection of the salmonid fishery and public trust uses of these watersheds.

We have been working for many years in the watersheds of AB 2121. We have personally seen the Navarro River, referenced in the Draft Guidelines, reduced to dryness. Summer flows have dropped progressively over the past three decades as more and more diversions have been placed on the river. The Navarro Watershed Restoration Plan of June 1998 by Entrix Inc. states:

¹ See attachment A

... studies indicate that summer flows in the lower reaches of Anderson, Rancheria and Indian Creek are at times significantly reduced by agricultural pumping. In aggraded stream reaches summer flow may be entirely subsurface. Several monitored streams dried up completely, or had only isolated pools during the late summer months...

In 1998 the Department of Water Resources (DWR), in response to complaints, initiated a new Watershed Investigation Program (WIP). By using existing aerial photographs of the Navarro River Watershed, the program identified the existence of 94² unpermitted dams requiring applications. The study was conducted using aerial maps of 1991³ of the Navarro River watershed. The DWR log of submitted applications and approved permits for the Navarro Watershed through 1991 shows 68 existing storage sites. These newly discovered 94 diverters added to the existing 68 permitted diverters represent 58.3% of the total diversions.

Another WIP aerial survey by DWR of the Maacama Creek tributary of the Russian River disclosed "73 sites, of which 64 had reservoirs with no known water rights." We agree with the Trout Unlimited/Audubon Petition that these studies show a majority of the diverters at the time of the study were illegal and unknown to DWR.

These two aerial surveys, both in the geographic scope of AB 2121, disclosed;

- Four dams were voluntarily removed,
- 52 did not require permits
- Approximately 150 of the diverters, either voluntarily, or in response to Administration Civil Liability (ACL) fines, applied for water rights permits and entered the regulatory system.
- 122 inspections were necessitated by the DWR
- Several uncooperative diverters incurred ACL fines that did not cover SWRCB's costs of enforcement.

These surveys done in 1998 indicate that there were more unpermitted diversions in those areas than permitted. The magnitude of the problem is as

² See SWRCB Order WR 2000-03

³ See Trout Unlimited Petition Section 102 page 34 footnote 1..

⁴ See SWRCB Order WR 2000-11

yet not fully determined, but these 1998 surveys done with 1991 GIS maps represent only a small proportion of the geographic scope. If the current two older surveys turn out to be typical, the backlog will become significantly larger. Two current surveys in Sonoma County in process should be evaluated as soon as relevant data is available.

The problem is not just the <u>number</u> of unpermitted dams onstream, it is that the dams are constructed prior to environmental review. Many of these dams on the Navarro River were constructed onstream, without any provision for by-pass or even the capability of retrofitting a suitable by-pass. These dams are still in the application stage, still involved in studies, still unpermitted eight years after being "outed" by the WIP program.

The aerial surveys of 1998 did not stop the practice of building onstream unpermitted dams but rather confirmed that one can get away with it by applying for a water diversion permit. The Director of the SWRCB reported at the recent scoping meeting that 70% to 80% of all current pending applications are for existing illegal diversions! Because no penalties are assessed for diversion without permit, the current regulatory system tends to promote evasion of the law.

Unpermitted agricultural diverters have an economic competitive advantage over those who comply with the rules. They have usually not paid for the necessary studies on water availability, may have built less expensively on stream perhaps without by-pass control, may not have paid fees associated with water rights permits and, evaded the payment of property tax (as was shown in Mendocino County) for their capital improvement. The permit policy needs to require compliance of all to achieve economic equity

NO NEW ONSTREAM DAMS

The first, most essential step is to reassert control over the watershed and stop the continued construction of new onstream dams and illegal diversions. To that end we support the adoption of the 2002 Draft Guidelines (Section 3, page 6) that states:

3) <u>No Additional Permitting of Small On-Stream Reservoirs</u>
Water diversion projects requiring new permits should avoid

⁵ See Trout Unlimited Petition Section 102 page 34.

⁶ See attached letter of the Mendocino County Assessor.

Construction or maintenance of on-stream dams and reservoirs, including unpermitted storage ponds....

Justification: On-stream reservoirs should be prohibited...

The term "avoid" instead of "prohibit" was probably used because Section 7 sets out reasonable exceptions in the section titled <u>Special</u> <u>Circumstances Allowing Onstream Reservoirs</u>. However, we suggest limiting administrative discretion to those stated exceptions and suggest the following language:

Construction or maintenance of on-stream dams and reservoirs, including unpermitted storage ponds is prohibited unless covered by the exceptions listed in Special Circumstances Allowing Onstream Reservoirs.

ENFORCEMENT

We have addressed most of our suggestions to the Project Goals and Objectives at Page 6 of the Checklist that states "... the Division (DWR) proposes to include an enforcement element as part of the policy that will govern water rights enforcement actions..." We would like to see policy provisions to return the rule of law to the process. We strongly believe there should be an enforcement policy that has consequences for failure to comply, not simply for punishment, but to restore fairness to the process. To that end we would offer several specific recommendations for consideration.

GRACE PERIOD

A short grace period should be established prior to a date certain when the no-new-dams-onstream policy goes into effect. This could encourage unidentified illegal diverters to come out of the shadows and apply for permits under the current policy. The grace period could make adoption of the new policy more palatable to diverters giving them an option of the current policy where they would voluntarily come into the system, submit an application and bring their diversion into compliance, or failing to do so, stay in the shadows until discovered and face removal under the new policy. It would also make the point that violation of water rights law will, in the future, no longer go unpunished.

FINANCIAL DISINCENTIVES

Progressive financial consequences must attach for the failure to comply with the new policy prohibiting building onstream dams. We would suggest non-discretionary automatic fines based on the acre-foot capacity of the diversion. Additional discretionary fines could be imposed for willful misconduct.

PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANTS LIABILTY

Many dams are built with professional advice prior to application that are incapable of compliance with the water rights law. Applications are submitted to the Water Board by consultants that intentionally contain false or misleading information that results in the permitting of illegal dams. Diverting water without a permit is breaking the law and anyone who directly participates in that should be held responsible. The regulatory system currently imposes civil liability only upon the applicants and not their representatives. We recommend that in the case of willful misconduct of design professionals, the new policy include financial and/or professional sanctions such as denial of the right to represent clients before the board or in the permitting process. Design professionals who produce designs in conformance with the law should not be at a financial disadvantage to those who do not.

WORK WITH THE REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD

The North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board's (RWQCB) prepares Action Plans to address temperature TMDL impairment in North Coast rivers. The WIP program should be coordinated with this activity. Current temperature TMDLs for the Scott and Shasta Rivers have established the relation of high instream temperatures to low flow from diversions, but these TMDLs do not have the definitive data that the WIP program would provide. Essentially all of the rivers in the geographic scope of AB 2121 are listed as temperature impaired and will be addressed by RWQCB in the future. Aside from the WIP program, DWR and RWQCB have a common interest in unpermitted diversions that should be examined. They also now have a common interest in restoring adequate instream flows to coastal rivers.

WATERSHED INVESTIGATION PROGRAM WIP

This is an excellent program that has disclosed the extent of illegal dams on a watershed basis and should be continued. We suggest that a summary report be prepared on the findings of each study and be made available to the public, internal staff and other regulatory agencies. That is not the case now. The studies should be done in cooperation with the county's planning or resource agency and shared with the county's assessor and the RWQCB.

INCREASE STAFFING FOR DWR

The long delays in the current processing of applications pointed out in AB 2121 needs to be addressed since the provisions of AB 2121 will increase that load. Six staff members for the enforcement group is not adequate. We understand the reluctance of the senior staff and the Board to request additional personnel, however in this case it is the legislature and governor that is asking this staff to take on additional administrative work. This is work that will benefit the economy of the state by more efficient and equitable distribution of water. It is important to have the necessary resources to succeed. It would be very unfortunate to adopt these guidelines and have them fail for the lack of sufficient personnel.

PROVIDE INCENTIVES FOR APPLICANTS

Applicants who comply with the system and present applications with all the necessary studies showing water availability and environmental compliance with the water law should be authorized for construction expeditiously. Priority should be given to proposed legal diversions over those that are not. Where water availability reports are complete and all other environmental concerns addressed, a temporary permit system authorizing the start of construction should be considered.

DAM REMOVAL

Dam removal is not normally a desired outcome but must be considered in appropriate cases. There will be many difficult decisions where there isn't any easy answer. We ask that criteria be developed by DWR that would justify an order to remove a dam. The burden to fix such problems should be on the applicant with a time limit from date of application.

Criteria should identify issues that must be brought into conformance such as fish passage, season of diversion, diversion rate and volume of diversion as well as CDF&G Stream Bank Alteration Permits, CEQA review

DWR TO COORDINATE WITH COUNTY PLANNING

DWR and the counties in the geographic scope should establish regular communication channels on the construction of new dams. Currently DWR sends the counties information and requests that they pass it on to dam builders informing them of their obligation to apply for a water rights permit. That is not adequate. The DWR should require that the counties provide DWR summary reports of new dam construction. Counties have this information and can routinely supply it to DWR. Mendocino County reported 66 new dams in a 2000-2001 report⁷ following the 1998 WIP study. This information is far more timely, accurate and less expensive to obtain.

We are aware of alternatives that propose to by-pass the current water policy with a watershed approach to administration. These efforts may have limited benefit but do not address the basic compliance and enforcement issues. We also question the viability of such programs in counties where the necessary resources for support do not exist. We are concerned that this should not be a foil to evade fixing the basic regulatory water rights system.

The urgent need for the regulatory reform of AB 2121 is apparent in an environment where the great majority of water rights applications are for illegal pre-existing diversions and where a very large percentage of our water is diverted illegally and unseen by the regulatory system. This has to be stopped. The Draft Guidelines are a major step in the right direction. We support them and endorse the provisions prohibiting future onstream dams. We support the instream flow provisions critical to the fishery and supportive of our obligations under the TMDL program. The refinements proposed by Trout Unlimited are consistent with our goals. To succeed this entire program must have the necessary funding and staff support.

⁷ See attached.

We would encourage the State Board to implement their resolution 2006-0046 that would expand the geographic scope of these measures to include the Klamath River and its tributaries. The Eel River and its tributaries must also be included as soon as feasible. We look forward to addressing the final Draft SED.

Very truly yours,

Margaret Pennington

Chair, Redwood Chapter Sierra Club

CM

Chris Malan

Water Committee Chair, Redwood Chapter Sierra Club

Daniel Myers

Water Committee Chair, Mendocino Group Sierra Club

707 895-3887

CC: Catherine Kuhlman

Roger Foote

Park Steiner

Brian Johnson

Alan Levine

Roland Sanford

Vicky Whitney

William Hearn

Steve Hall

Paul Mason

Jim Metropulos

Nadananda

Linda Hanson

Glen Spain

Navarro River Watershed

Decade	Average	ge Minimum Flows	prop	Appropriations
1950s		9.3 cfs	 8.	cfs
1960s		6.7	3.3	
1970s		5.1	5.3	
1980s		4.6	6.0	
1990s		2.7	6.3	

MENDO	MENDOCINO COUNTY PONDS & RESEVOIRS & DAMS 2000-2001		SPREADSHEET	1 3		}		
PAGE #1					H2O & F&G	\dagger		Mendocino County Planning Department
NAME	MAILING ADDRESS	TELEPHONE	YEAR	AP#	DATE FILED	٥	STATUS	POND SITE ADDRESS
			,			-		
Acord, Loren	680 Salmela Road, Philo, Ca. 95466	(707) 895-3012	7/8/99	026-190-19 & 026-160-17	12/19/00	×	Exempt	7400 Ridgewood Road, Willits
ŧ	Post Office Box 1555, Ukiah, Ca. 95482	(707) 467-9701	4/23/99	151-300-04	12/19/00	×	Exempt	5500 Parducci Road, Ukiah
Barry Fmily	26101 Philo Greenwood Road, Elk, Ca. 95432		8/1/98	131-130-10	12/19/00	×	Exempt	Same
Castanon, Jose	2600 Road E. Redwood Valley, Ca. 95470	(707) 485-7939	9/16/98	161-240-13	12/19/00	×	Exempt	Same
Crane. Robert	18505 Fairweather St., Canyon Country, Ca. 91351	_	8/20/98	026-440-42	12/19/00	×	Exempt	8051 Signal Ridge Road, Philo
Daniel, William	5000 Orr Springs Road, Ukiah, Ca. 95482	(707) 462-2358	4/25/00	152-080-02 & 03	12/19/00	×	Exempt	Same
opher	1809 Arbor Avenue, Santa Rosa, Ca. 95404	(707) 575-7247	7/20/98	046-160-55	12/19/00	×	Exempt	16125 Deer Meadows Rd. Boonville
	5341 East Side Road, Ukiah, Ca. 95482	(707) 467-1397	9/21/99	187-060-01 & 02	12/19/00	×	Exempt	Same
Gallagher, Barry	One Kaiser Plaza, Suite 480, Oakland, Ca. 94612	(707) 743-2812	66/2/2	174-23-01	12/19/00	×	Exempt	10300 West Road, Potter Valley
Gerhart, Katherine	Post Office Box 187, Ukiah, Ca. 95482	(707) 485-3100	4/15/98	185-240-01 & 012	12/19/00	×	Exempt	4100 Burke Hill Road, Ukiah
H & W Vinevards	Post Office Box 367, Redwood Valley, Ca. 95470	(707) 485-0321	10/7/99	175-190-07	12/19/00	×	Exempt	10751 West Road, Redwood Valley
Harper, Robert	8870 St. Helena Road, Santa Rosa, Ca. 95404	(707) 539-9830	5/24/99	105-280-40	12/19/00	×	Exempt	4150 HWY 20, Wilits
Incocita Phillip	Post Office Box 75. Ukiah, Ca. 95482	_	11/17/98	151-260-08	12/19/00	×	Exempt	8601 Brandywine Drive, Ukiah
Jason Trent	8868 Lakewood Drive, Windsor, Ca. 95492	(415) 242-7035	6/26/00	14-430-37 & 62.7	12/19/00	××	Exempt	4001 Branscomb Road, Branscomb
Jeroenson, Kent	Post Office Box 52, Willits, Ca. 95490	(707) 456-9353	5/6/99	038-110-44	12/19/00	×	Ехетрі	4601 El Roble Road, Ukiah
lovce Diane	Post Office Box 1246. Willits. Ca. 95490	(707) 459-5050	11/17/98	038-170-390	12/19/00	××	Exempt	22040 Pepperwood Way, Willits
Killian. Frank	Post Office Box 570, Hopland, Ca. 95449	(707) 744-1530	7/10/00	48-370-04	12/19/00	×	Exempt	800 Duncan Springs Road, Hopland
Madigan, Kerry	Post Office Box 9, Willits, Ca. 95490	(415) 272-0916	6/19/00	37-310-43	12/19/00	××	Exempt	8007 Hearst-Willits Road, Willits
McDonald, Randy	5401 Ridgewood Road, Willits, Ca. 95490	(707) 459-7087	11/17/00	105-030-06	12/19/00	×	Exempt	Same
Мотів, Мас	3640 Feliz Creek Road, Hopland, Ca. 95449	(707) 744-1625	2/16/00	47-050-41 & 42	12/19/00	×	Exempt	Ѕате
Parducci Winery	501 Parducci Road, Ukiah, Ca. 95482	(707) 462-5350	10/7/99	169-030-01& 169-040-02	12/19/00	×	Exempt	Same
Pauli, Bill	12507 Hawn Creek Road, Potter Valley, Ca. 95469	(707) 743-1173	9/13/00	174-020-04 & 05	12/19/00	×	Exempt	501 Laughlin Way, Redwood Valley
Rucker, Ronald	2500 Tindall Ranch Road, Ukiah, Ca. 95482	(707) 462-6380	6/30/98	182-190-04	12/19/00	××	Exempt	Same
Schaeffer, John	350 North Spring Street, Ukiah, Ca. 95482	(707) 468-9292	1/19/00	49-360-07	12/19/00	×	Exempt	2021 Duncan Springs Rd, Hopland
Schaeffer, John	350 North Spring Street, Ukiah, Ca. 95482	(707) 468-9292	1/19/99	050-110-15	12/19/00	×	Exempt	2001 Duncan Springs Rd, Hopland
Schulte-Bisping, Franz	Post Office Box 114, Philo, Ca. 95466	(707) 895-2264	4/2/99	26-550-06	12/19/00	×	Exempt	90 Holmes Ranch Road, Philo
Serres Ranch	16060 sonoma HWY, Sonoma, Ca. 95476	6707) 996-6776	2/9/00	013-570-09	12/19/00	×	Exempt	50401 HWY 101, Laytonville
Shefchek, Paul	47445 Towhee Street, Fremont, Ca. 94539	(510) 659-8490	10/7/00	160-170-10	12/19/00	××	Exempt	11100 Baker's Creek Rd. Red. Vly.
Sherwin, Richard	1531 Chablis Road, Healdsburg, Ca. 95448	(707) 485-1456	10/7/98	47-310-07	12/19/00	×	Exempt	10275 Eastide Road, Hopland
Smith, Gary	641 Tehuacan Road, Ukiah, Ca. 95482	(707) 467-1264	10/13/98	182-160-03 & 06 ?	12/19/00	×	Exempt	2680 Twinning Road, Ukiah
Smith, Larry	Post Office Box 680, Boonville, Ca. 95415	(707) 972-3890	8/31/99	46-550-15	12/19/00	×	Exempt	Same
Vau, Charles	551-A Pomo Lane, Ukiah, Ca. 95482	(707) 485-8254	5/27/99	186-02-01 & 02-03	12/19/00	×	Exempt	10751 West Road, Potter Valley
Wheeler, Roger	3692 Feliz Creek Road, Hopland, Ca. 95449	(707) 462-7760	2/9/00	47-010-41	12/19/00	×	Exempt	3692 Feliz Creek Road, Hopland
Wolda, Chris	Post Office Box 167, Philo, Ca. 95466	(707) 895-2534	7/8/99	160-260-14	12/19/00	×	Exempt	7811 Peachland Road, Boonville

MEND	MENDOCINO COUNTY PONDS & RESEVOIRS & DAMS 2000-2001		SPREADSHEET	ET		-	
PAGE #2					H2O & F&G		Mendocino County Planning Department
T SAN	MAILING ADDRESS	TELEPHONE	YEAR	AP#	DATE FILED (C STATUS	S POND SITE ADDRESS
akt seema	7 Atherton Island Stockton Ca. 95204	(209) 948-0971	9/20/96	0120-803-100	12/19/00 X	ا-، ز	63201 North HWY 1, Leggett
Duigess, Lyle	977	(707) 744-7475	11/10/99	048-060-020	12/19/00 X	××	12625 Eastside Road, Hopland
reizer vineyarus		(707) 485-1293	6/27/75	48-36-08	12/19/00	X 5 Pond	Ponds? 14751 Eastside Road, Hopland
Feizer, Dail	1160 Bel Arhres Road Redwood Valley, Ca. 95470	(707) 485-1293	1/29/79	162-020-14	12/19/00 X	××	1301 Reeves Canyon Road, Redwood Vly.
Fetzer, Robert	1160 Bel Arbres Road, Redwood Valley, Ca. 95470	(707) 485-1293	1/29/79	162-011-25	12/19/00	×	1301 Reeves Canyon Road, Redwood Vly.
Field Katrica	4335 Marina City Drive. PH30E. Marina Del Rey,Ca	(310) 822-5227	7/16/98	177-06-003 &177-050-12?	12/19/00 X	×	11351 Burris Lane, Potter Valley
Finley Darlene	1700 Orr Springs Road, Ukiah, Ca. 95482		12/9/97	156-060-02	12/19/00	×	1700 Orr Springs Road, Ukiah
Jackson Susan	Post Office Box 344, Philo, Ca. 95466	(707) 895-3114	9/23/98	026-410-250	12/19/00 X	×	7680 State HWY 128, Philo
Jones, Darrell	Post Office Box 641, Kenai, Arkansas 99611	(907) 283-3252	12/20/96	160-170-110	12/19/00 X	××	10801 Bakers Creek Road, Redwood Vly.
Iones Lindsav R	10591 izann Drive. Lafavette. Ca. 94549	(510) 254-8778	10/21/96	046-160-46	12/19/00	××	16171 Deer Meadows Road, Boonville
Kellev Ir Clint	11220 West Road. Redwood Valley, Ca. 95470	(707) 485-8545	10/8/00	106-160-13	12/19/00	X Tank-W	Tank-Well? Forsythe Creek, Redwood Valley
Kendall-Jackson	1800 HWY 128, Philo. Ca. 95466	(707) 483-3081	9/28/99	46-550-74	12/19/00	, ×	9140 Peachland Road, Boonville
Koemer Ted	1190 North State Street, Uklah, Ca. 95482	(707) 743-1073	7/20/00	175-250-24	12/19/00	xx Exempt	ot 12000 Pine Avenue, Potter Valley
I aMalfa Richard	Rant Fast Side Road Potter Valley, Ca. 95469	(707) 743-1296	12/7/95	177-040-04	12/19/00	×	8301 East Road, Potter Valley
I awson Robert D	Post Office Box 235. Yorkville, Ca. 95494	(707) 894-5166	10/8/98	049-290-29	12/19/00	×	28250 HWY 128, Yorkville (2 Ponds Total)
Swson Robert D	Post Office Box 235 Yorkville, Ca. 95494	(707) 894-5166	10/8/98	049-300-09 & 10	12/19/00	×	28250 HWY 128, Yorkville (2 Ponds Total)
Levi Monica	5151 Ridoewood Road, Willits, Ca. 95490	(707) 459-4171	10/18/95	105-240-01	12/19/00	×	5151 Ridgewood Road, Willits
l indsev lim	Post Office Box 810. Redwood Valley, Ca. 95470	(707) 459-2136	8/1/00	106-146-08 & 11	12/19/00	XX Exempt	ot 3401 Reeves Canyon Road, Redw. Vly.
Madigan Kerry	Post Office Box 9. Willits. Ca. 95490	(707) 272-0916	6/19/00	37-310-43	12/19/00	XX Exempt	ot 8007 Hearst-Willits Road, Willits
McMemorny, Scott	10101 West Road, Potter Valley, Ca. 95469	(707) 743-1436	96/6/8	175-200-020	12/19/00	XX	
McMemomy, Scott	10101 West Road, Potter Valley, Ca. 95469	(707) 743-1436	96/6/8	174-250-07	12/19/00	×	
Mendo Hills Wine Co.	Post Office Box 3989, Napa, California 94558	(707) 528-1495	7/12/96	046-550-68	12/19/00	× ×	9140 Upper Peachland Road, Boonville
Mondavi, Robert	Post Office Box 1260, Woodridge, Ca. 95258	(209) 369-5861	11/18/96	169-010-16 & 169-020-30	12/19/00	×	700 Hensley Creek Road, Ukiah
Pauli, Bill	12507 Hawn Creek Road, Potter Valley, Ca. 95469	(707) 743-1173	9/13/00	172-270-02	12/19/00	X Exempt	$\neg \top$
Pauli, William	12507 Hawn Creek Road, Potter Valley, Ca. 95469	(707) 743-1173	11/14/97	172-240-010	12/19/00	×	8001 Old Wagon Road, Potter Valley
Piffero, Ric	50 West Lake Mendocino Drive, Ukiah, Ca. 95482	(707) 463-3100	8/2/00	160-011-15	12/19/00	X Exempt	pt 12800 Tomki Road, Redwood Vallley
Piffero Ric	50 Lake Mendocino Drive, Ukiah, Ca. 95482	(707) 463-3100	8/2/00	166-230-01	12/19/00	XX Exempt	pt 3350 Road B, Redwood Valley
Trione Victor	Post Office Box NN. Santa Rosa, Ca. 95402	(707) 433-8304	9/27/00	049-420-16	12/19/00	×	28001 North Branch Road, Yorkville
Wheeler Roner E	3690 Feliz Creek Road, Hopland, Ca. 95449	(707) 744-1523	7/18/00	047-400-03	12/19/00	XX Exempt	pt 3690 Feliz Creek Road, Hopland
Williams Don	4300 Alton Rd. # 211, Miami Beach, Florida 33140		7/24/00	35-340-09	12/19/00	X Exempt	pt 40200 North HWY 101, Willits
Workman, Peter	180 High Eagle Road, Alamo, Ca. 94507	(510) 842-3380	1/27/97	173-130-27	12/19/00	×	13661 Powerhouse Road, Potter Valley
Zmarzly, Fred	Post Office Box 7581, Santa Rosa, Ca. 95402	(707) 544-5776	8/16/00	047-100-39	12/19/00	X Exempt	pt 4617 Road 110, Hopland